

ligion, will depend upon the depth and heartiness of prayer. It does not depend so much on the length, as the intensity of the mental activity. A few moments of real and absorbing address to God, will accomplish more for the Christian, in the way of arming him with spiritual power, than days of years of reflection without it. Hence, the ejaculatory prayer. In the brief instant, the eye of the creature catches the eye of the Creator, glances are exchanged, and the Divine power and blessings flow down into the soul.—*W. G. Shedd.*

It is not sufficient to commune with the truth; for truth is impersonal. We must commune with the God of truth. It is not enough to study, and ponder, the contents of religious books, or even the Bible itself. We must actually address the author of the Bible in entreaties and petitions. —*Dr. Shedd.*

Free Christian Commonwealth

LOUISVILLE, KY., THURSDAY, SEPT. 7, 1867.

REV. STUART ROBINSON, Editor.

REV. GEO. O. BARNES, Cor. Editor.

DAVIDSON & ROBINSON, Publishers.

The Relation of Miracles to Divine Revelations.

The suggestion was made in these columns some time since that the miracles of Jesus were in an important sense parables also. That they were as *diagrams* to illustrate the methods of the spirit in healing, cleansing and restoring diseased, corrupt, blind and crippled souls. And therefore an exposition of Christ's miracle of healing with reference to their spiritual significance, would furnish a hand book of Christian experience.

It was not intended however to detract in any degree from the importance of the miracles as the attestations of the messages from God to men. And in this day when the subtle rationalism of such men as Bushnell on one extreme, is found in alliance with the superstitions of Popery, to affirm that miracles do not cease with the closing of inspiration, it is the more important to study the relation of miracles to revelations.

"We know thou art a teacher come from God," said Nicodemus, "for no man can do the miracles that thou dost except God be with him." The principle enunciated by Nicodemus that no man could do these miracles which they did except God be with them that upon which the Scriptures everywhere proceed. The short method of removing Moses' fears that the people would not believe him to have been sent by Jehovah, was to confer upon him a power of working wonders as a sign. The argument of Peter with the enemies of Jesus on the day of Pentecost to establish their guilt before God, was the simple fact that they had crucified a man approved, attested of God to be His messenger—by miracles and wonders and signs.

Nay, nor is it merely in the way of reasoning to convince the understanding but to convict conscience of deepest guilt that they refer to these miracles—"How shall we escape argues Paul—if we neglect a gospel attested by God himself, with signs and wonders and divers miracles?"

And Jesus though warning that false Christs, with lying wonders, shall arise—none the less asserts that the grand sin of his people consists in rejecting his works—for that evinces sin against light and hatred of God—"Have seen and hated both me and my Father." This explains why so frequently the several terms used to denote miracles are used together in the same texts—Peter describes it as *signs and wonders*—(in the original, *signes, wonders and signs*)—and Paul—calls them "signs" and "wonders" and "divers miracles"—(in the original, *signes, powers*)—as the terms severally express but one side of the truth—they are thus used together to describe it fully on all sides. It is *vergon*—"the work" that none but God can do. It is *dynamis* the "power," as indicating the Almighty energy in the work; it is *teras* the "miraculous"—the wonder, as indicating the effect of the work on the beholder. It is *genion*—"the sign" as indicating the purpose of God to speak with men. Thus it is one complex idea described by various names according to its different signs. The elements furnished in various Scriptures suggest therefore this definition:—a miracle is an extraordinary or supernatural "work," done by the "power" of God, without the intervention of any known second cause, as "a sign" or proof of the presence of God in the work or with the deed of it as commissioned to speak in God's name revealing His will.

The miracle, in this sense is either the direct or the mediate extra-natural act of God. Direct, as when God acts in the creation of the world—or its destruction by water—or in the destruction of Sodom—and in the miracles during the era of the Theophanies generally—or, as in the resurrection of Christ. The miracle is *mediate* when God acts through some human agent, as Moses, Elijah, or the Apostles.

A miracle may also be pure or mixed. Pure, as when it is wholly supernatural, without reference to natural phenomena—as the fire called from heaven by Elisha—or when Christ raised the dead. Mixed, when the ordinary phenomena of nature are supernaturally used to produce miraculous results.

From this analysis it follows that a mere "wonder" is not a miracle in the Scripture sense. Nature is full of wonders.

Nor is a phenomenon even above nature, and not explicable from second causes, a miracle; since it is essential the thing should be a "sign," or proof of God's presence with, and his commission to the doer. Nor has a miracle any value in itself considered, except as it attests a revelation from God. It may be a mere "lying wonder" to deceive.

It will be perceived, therefore, that there is involved in the very idea of a miracle—a new revelation or message

from God to men;—and conversely, the idea of a direct message from God to men involves the idea of a miracle, a manifestation of God's "power" through the bearer of the message, as a "sign" that he is speaking as the bearer of a message from God.

It is very manifest, therefore, that when men claim to be doing miraculous things, it behooves them to show that they have some new message to substantiate by them. And, on the other hand, men that claim to speak by direct authority from God, as did prophets and Apostles, must show us the "signs" of an Apostle before we can receive them. The two ideas are inseparable in Scripture. It follows therefore, that the very reception of the Bible as a perfect rule of Faith, excludes the acceptance of any further miraculous manifestations. And to admit that a true miracle may have been wrought in our day, involves the idea that the Bible is not yet complete as our rule of Faith. This very short and effective method with modern supernaturalism has been felt to be so utterly annihilating to many a pompous pretense, that we find of late, for the first time, a strong disposition to call in question the fact that the Bible is a finality, even by rationalistic fanaticism, without the pale of the Church of Rome. Why determine dogmatically, they say, that the Bible is a final revelation as we now have it?

In common with the Roman Catholics, they tell us the dogma is based on a strained application of the closing words of the Book of Revelation:—"If any man add to the things written in this book, &c."—a saying which may be supposed to apply only to that one particular book of the Bible, and not to the Bible as a whole. But we give that general interpretation and application to these words at the close of Revelation, not merely for what they are in themselves, nor from whom they are—but because they give expression to a great principle of the revelation "at sundry times," which we find running all through the Bible.

If asked wherefore we have determined that the revelation closes at that particular point, we answer, in general, once the Pentateuch only was the Bible, but with that Bible in their hands, Elisha and Elijah wrought miracles attesting a message from God, and added other revelations. Then the Old Testament only was the Bible. But Jesus and his Apostles added to it, working miracles to attest their authority. Then the Apostles did wonders to attest their right to add to the canon. Now we determine that it closed, and that no other revelations are to occur with the advance of society and be added on to the volume, for this very plain reason—that till the era of the Apostles, each revelation had made provision for another revelation to follow it. Moses called for a prophet to follow him in that revelation, expressly providing that he should be recognized as a prophet like unto him. And again in the revelations of the Old Testament that subsequently followed, every one still called for something additional yet to come. Malachi closes the Old Testament with a call for another messenger to go before the face of the great revealer. Now these calls were all fulfilled in the New Testament. The great burden of argument of Jesus and the Apostles goes to show that they were the messengers of God called for by the prophets of God before. But now they call for none to follow these Apostles. They say their times are "last times"—none to follow. This great fact has its utterance in those words at the end of the last book, and we say that in God's providence that book was arranged last in the canon with a view to give expression to the great fact that no more revelations are to be looked for till Christ's second coming.

When, therefore, anything purporting to be a miracle is now offered us, we readily determine its counterfeit character. As, when some bank gives notice that it has no notes in circulation of a given plate and letter—say of any later date than 1860—if one is offered purporting to be of that plate and letter, dated 1865, we give ourselves no trouble to scan, examine, search—we pronounce it, at once, *logos*. So if no call for a further issue from God of revelation and promise, but notice given that issues ceased at a given date, we know it to be counterfeit.

Here, then, is our reason for regarding the Bible as a finality. The vision is sealed and shut up. For 1500 years revelation had been going on—each still calling for another to succeed it—till the "last times" of the Apostles, which made no call for any further. Nay, but this is not yet the full force of the argument. Suppose in case of the bank just now cited, the announcement had been made not only that it has no notes out of a given plate subsequent to 1860, but also the additional announcement that there are numerous counterfeits of that plate out, executed with great ingenuity. Now just that is the argument in this case. Instead of such notice of another to come, as that of Moses, of Nathan, of Isaiah, of Mala-

chi, there are notices of "false Christs and false prophets," and of lying wonders, and of "all deceivableness of unrighteousness." So we have a reason not only for our faith in Scripture, but for our want of faith in miracles with no message, that tell us of mere wonders—as of flying houses of Our Lady of Loretto—of winking Madonnas—of spirits from heaven whose fancy is ever to speak through wooden table legs, as though they retained in the realm of spirits a passionate remembrance of the good eating that belongs to the fleshly state—of revelators spiritual who cautiously select human wooden heads—the channel of their communications to men. All, all alike have this fatal flaw, that they are miracles without a God's message. They bring "a God upon the stage with no knot worthy a God to untie." They show a seal of the office with no mandate to vouch by it. We say at once, you have stolen the seal from the clerk, or counterfeited God's seal. He is not wont to allow it to be affixed to blank or nonsense. The like fatal flaw is found in all legends of saint-worship and these pretended miracles. Obviously, they misconceive of the nature and design of miraculous power. They make it a proof of sanctity in those who work the wonders. But a miracle has no relation to sanctity. Eminent servants of God, as Job, Abraham, David, Mary the mother of Jesus, did no miracles, while Judas and Balaam wrought miracles; and Jesus says: "Many will say, 'Lord, Lord, have we not done wonderful works in thy name?' To whom he will say, Depart, I never knew you."

As to the rationalism that affects to receive Christianity and deny its miracles, and ascribe them to mere natural causes, these evidently misconceive the fundamental nature of the gospel as distinct from natural religion, and that it is a religion of facts, to be proved by testimony, &c.

The claim of miraculous power, no matter under what guise it comes, and however smooth its pious phrase, is radical and essential infidelity. The very claim itself is antagonistic to all religion which receives the Bible as its rule of faith. Nor have any such pretensions any claim on any reasonable Christian man's attention for a single moment. No matter how wonderful—nay, no matter though really supernatural. The more wonderfulness gives them no claim to any special attention, even in the way of novelty. Every one who has thought much has found himself surrounded with wonders, especially in the structure of the human soul and its mysterious working. Nay, we may go still further than this. We might very consistently go so far as to admit these wonders to be really supernatural without at all affecting the argument. For we have been warned before that Satan's "signs and lying wonders may so resemble the true as to deceive the very elect." And therefore the very pretences to miraculous power in these times, the more plausible, the more they confirm the gospel prophecy.

Scholia on the Minutes of the Cincinnati Assembly—No. 3.

In immediate connection with the honor conferred on G. H. Stuart, Esq., should follow as scholium, No. 3. THE BILLING AND COING EXTRAORDINARY WITH OTHER ECCLESIASTICAL BODIES.

What was the cause of the profound interest taken in the Cincinnati Assembly by the New School Assembly, we can readily surmise. But what should have fired the hearts of the grand representative council of the "poor persecuted Baptists" at Chicago with such a flame of holy affection for the Assembly in session at Cincinnati, it is not so easy to divine. Possibly it may have been the convincing and edifying power of Dr. Dale's "Classic Baptism," recently issued, and the Baptist brethren begin to think Presbyterians half right. Whatever may have been the causes, however, here are the remarkable records—first, of the New School correspondence by telegraph (Min., p. 318):

The Permanent Clerk reported that he had received the following telegram: Rochester, May 20, 1867.

The General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church, holding its session in the city of Rochester, N. Y., sends fraternal and Christian salutations to the General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church holding its sessions in the city of Cincinnati, desiring and praying for the presence and benediction of the Great Head of the Church in all their deliberations and deliberations.

J. GLEESWORTH BULLEN, Stated Clerk.

This telegram was ordered to be entered on the Minutes, and the Permanent Clerk was directed to send back a telegram in a similar spirit.

An answer was sent accordingly, returning the same words precisely, with the addition of a prayer "for the guidance of the divine spirit" in the New School Assembly.

Next came the telegram from the Baptists, and the answer, as follows (Min., p. 356):

Chicago, May 27, 1867.

To the Rev. Dr. Gurley, Moderator of the General Assembly.

By an enthusiastic vote, the representatives of the Baptist churches, in Grand Reunion assembled, send Christian salutation to the General Assembly of the

Presbyterian Church now in session at Cincinnati, O.

H. THOMAS MILLER, Chairman.

To this the Permanent Clerk was directed to send a reply, and accordingly sent the following:

Cincinnati, O., Tuesday, 4 o'clock P. M., May 28, 1867.

Rev. H. T. Miller, Chairman Baptist Reunion.

The General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church, now in session at Cincinnati, with corresponding cordiality returns the Christian salutations of the representatives of the Baptist Churches in Grand Reunion assembled at Chicago.

R. D. GURLEY, Moderator.

Not having been within the charmed circle to catch the inspiration, of course these novel ecclesiastical sentimentalisms are perhaps not duly appreciated by us. But the event shows that we are not far wrong in judging that their practical value did not amount to much. "The fervent emotional prayer of the righteous man availeth much." But, whether from want of fervor or from want of righteousness in these New School and Baptist petitioners, nothing is plainer than that their prayers for the Cincinnati Assembly seemed to avail little toward staying its progress to a depth of folly and apostasy never attained before.

9. DISCORD AMID THE HARMONIES—CHICAGO SEMINARY.

Among the remarkable novelties in these records are the solemn official, ecclesiastical *fistings* between the different pastors in the Boards of the Northwestern Theological Seminary, the like of which we have never before met with in records of General Assemblies. Thus, from the annual report of the present Directors of the Chicago Seminary, we select the following not very dignified criticism on the report of the Board of Directors last year:

The last annual report of the Board of Directors to the Assembly, as printed in the Minutes, contains, among other things, the following:

At the late meeting of the Board, by a vote of eleven against nine, it was resolved to ask the Assembly to transfer Dr. Lord to the "Cyrus H. McCormick Professorship of Didactic and Polemic Theology," and also to elect a fourth Professor. The Assembly should know that the opposition to both these resolutions is very firm, and is from members who generally have been longest in the Board, and who have borne, and yet bear, the burden of the support of the Seminary. (See Minutes of 1866, p. 140.)

In respect of this, the Board would say that the "report" was prepared, and sent up to the General Assembly by a committee appointed for the purpose. That the part quoted above is erroneous in declaring the number of votes given, which were twelve to nine, and the statement in regard to the voting in the negative is also wrong. The Board did not authorize, as a vote of count of its members, a vote of eleven against nine, as Dr. Lord, we feel it our duty to state and disown.

Without any opinion as to the propriety of the committee appointed to prepare the report, it is due to truth and justice to place the blame where it belongs.

And in the report of the Board of Trustees of the same Theological Seminary to the Assembly, we find a similar animus toward the old Board:

Most of us were present at your last annual meeting of your Board, and heard the address of the retiring President, and we confess the surprise and sorrow with which we observed him aim to withhold from the public favour the names of those who have done so much to make our Seminary what it is. Should we withhold the expression of our respect when known and tried friends of the institution are treated with manifest injustice, we should regard ourselves as parties to the wrong. Especially we feel constrained to attribute the present financial discouragements to the spirit then manifested and apparently carried out in the action of the committee on the subject matter of Theological Seminaries at the meeting of the last General Assembly at St. Louis.

Mr. McCormick, as we are credibly informed, intends to contest the demand for any further payment from him on his endowment fund, in view of the action and treatment he received at St. Louis, during the session of the General Assembly, which he adds greatly to the present embarrassments which surround us on every hand.

This beautiful specimen of harmony and brotherly affection, be it observed, is the product of that delightful ecclesiastical climate about Chicago, where, judging from the North Western Presbyterian, one would suppose there is one perpetual sunshine, and the people are utterly horrified at such blasts as we have here in Kentucky. But with all the serenity that reigns about Chicago, it may well be questioned whether men who can indulge in this sort of fictitious ecclesiastical in solemn official reports of their high trust from the Church are exactly the sort of models by which, and this the sort of atmosphere in which to train the rising ministry to that high sense of honor and official self-respect which heretofore has characterized Presbyterian ministers.

10. REPORTS AND ACTION CONCERNING DANVILLE THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY—SOME REMARKABLE DEVELOPMENTS.

We have looked forward to the publication of the reports from the Board of Directors, the Board of Trustees, and the Emeritus Professor of the fiscal and liturgical branches of Theology appointed by the Assembly of 1866 in the defunct Danville Theological Seminary. We had some curiosity to hear what message would come from a dead Seminary, that had left some two hundred thousand dollars behind it to pay funeral expenses, and perhaps for masses—

if we may speak of a Presbyterian Seminary in a Popish figure—for the repose of the dead.

From an item in the financial report, we are led to think that the cemetery expenses are rather heavy. It will be remembered that the Seminary closed *sine die* about April 20th, 1866; and that Dr. Breckinridge, sole surviving Professor, was put in charge of its effects and to watch the grave, by the Assembly in May, 1866. Yet, in the general account, which foots up the present assets of the Seminary at \$208,865 13, is found the following significant items:

Total amount in Treasury to April 20, 1867, \$17,594 02

Credit by Professors' salaries, due since April 20, '66 - \$3,000 00

By general expenditures, 1,159 36

\$15,594 36

Balance cash - \$15,431 66

In the good old times, this sum of \$1,159 36 thus expended in support of this dead school, would have comfortably supported a good live one.

But this financial statement is a matter of far less interest than the "high moral" of the following resolution of the Danville Board of Trustees:

"Resolved, That the General Assembly, in its session in the State of Kentucky, which is pledged to hold, while exercising its power in the elections of Trustees, be asked to remove this Board of any Kentucky members who may not be in connection with the Synod of Kentucky adhering to the General Assembly, and that it will be pleased to purge this Board of all existing Trustees who have taken part against the General Assembly."

So also the Board of Directors of the Seminary make the following remarkable report:

Some few members of this Board (of Directors) we have substantial reasons for believing are not hearty in sympathy with us who are loyal to the General Assembly and to the Church. For these reasons we would recommend to the Assembly that the number of this Board be reduced at least one-half.

We desire to call special attention to these remarkable propositions from men in Kentucky to a fanatical Northern Assembly—men who claimed to have all the fraternal affection, and still were claiming to be treated with all the courtesies due to Christian gentlemen. It must be remembered that these recommendations were made before the action of the last Assembly. Especially is the attention of those amiable brethren called to this who were so shocked at our predictions of what these men would do, and our not very complimentary argument to show what they were capable of doing after treasonably conspiring to betray their own brethren and their own section of the Church into the hands of a faction which they pretended to disown, and is the fact that the Board of Directors of the Seminary, who are the only members of the Board who are not in sympathy with the Assembly, are the only members of the Board who are not in sympathy with the Assembly.

In Kentucky, they still felt bound to make part against the Assembly. This is the request of the resolutions that address itself to be knugbged by the pretence of these men that they were hostile to the Assembly's acts.

How far the Assembly heeded this very loyal request is plain enough from examining the list of names in the reconstructed Boards of Directors and Trustees. We make room for the entire rolls of the two Boards, for they will suggest other important reflections aside from the special purpose we have in view. The old Board of eighteen Trustees consisted of (Min., p. 114):

R. J. Breckinridge, E. P. Humphrey, J. T. Boyle, R. A. Johnston, J. Barbour, A. A. Hogue, W. L. Breckinridge—ministers; and G. W. Welsh, T. E. Quisenberry, G. F. Lee, A. R. McKee, J. A. Jacobs, J. R. Hughes, Geo. Denny, T. Marshall, J. G. Barrett, O. Beatty, J. C. Maxwell—elders.

The new Board of eleven members consists of S. Yerkes, D. D., S. S. McKim, W. J. McKnight—ministers; and T. E. Quisenberry, George Denny, J. G. Barrett, O. Beatty, G. W. Welsh, A. R. McKee, John A. Jacobs, J. C. Maxwell—elders. (Min., p. 316.)

The old Board of fifty-four Directors is reduced down to the following new Board of thirty (Min., p. 315)—a very remarkable Board to direct the training of a ministry, especially as compared with the Boards that once directed the instructions of Professors in Danville Seminary:

MINISTERS—R. F. Caldwell, T. F. Cortelyou, J. T. Lapsley, George Morris, J. L. McKee, D. D., W. L. Breckinridge, D. D., T. H. Clelland, R. A. Johnston, Samuel J. Nicolls (the *Conver man*), John C. Young, R. W. Lane, J. D. D. (Professor), J. K. Kyle, S. D. Crothers, H. H. Allen, R. J. Breckinridge, D. D. (Professor).

LAYMEN—(We follow the Assembly's denomination of them)—Daniel Curry, B. N. Penick, E. A. More, S. R. Williams, R. Rodes, J. C. Maxwell (he who built the argument against us upon the *miraculous egg*), G. W. Welsh, George Denny, L. L. Warren, George Wood, T. T. Alexander, B. F. Avery, S. G. (we suppose J. G.) Barrett, Wm. Prather, J. C. Handolph.

This reconstruction of the Boards, as made under the request of the old Boards, to reduce so as to exclude all *not in hearty sympathy with us who are loyal to the General Assembly*—and "to purge this Board of all such as have taken part against the General Assembly"—presents a new list equally remarkable for what it contains and what it omits. It will

for instance surprise not a few of that class of Southern sympathizers who give one side the benefit of their sympathies and balance the account by giving the other side the benefit of their influence and co-operation—to find that in making up a list of those who have not taken part against the Assembly," but "who have been in hearty sympathy with the cause of us who are loyal"—the names of Messrs. McKee, Warren, Barrett, Prather, Welsh, W. L. Breckinridge, and others, should figure so conspicuously. Have not these men always repelled the charge with indignation that they were in sympathy with the Assembly?

Certain we are that we have heard the hint dropped a hundred times by their friends—particularly their friends of the Southern sympathy sort, that they utterly disapproved the doings of the Assembly, and had no sort of affinity for the "us who are loyal" of the genuine Danville loyalists! We are therefore reduced to the alternative of believing, either these gentlemen are not what their friends took them to be, or the Assembly's guides in this matter regarded them as of too little force, and having too little mind of their own, to be troublesome to the reconstructors at Danville. Or, to state the case more forcibly, either these gentlemen are now playing false with the Assembly, or they have been falsely humbugging their poor credulous dupes at home; or the Assembly supposes they have no principle, and can be used for its purposes better than honest men of either party. One horn of the dilemma must be chosen.

Our space forbids us to note as we intended other names remarkably entered in this list, for other reasons. We therefore pass to the remarkable omissions on these lists. We can see why such men as Messrs. Brank and Spillman may even Mr. Worrall, are omitted.

But it strikes us as singular that though a place is found for all three of his former co-Professors, Dr. Humphrey is utterly ignored in this reconstruction of the Boards. Nothing is more natural than the inquiry here, what can be the matter? After all his tremendous sacrifice of conscience and character to prove his loyalty to the Assembly last year, is he now suspected of "not being in sympathy with us who are loyal?" Are the "us who are loyal" coming over to the opinion of the less loyal that Dr. H. "will not do to tie to?"

Then, to what has become of the venerable Dr. Matthews? Surely no one suspects his loyalty. What of the faithful A. H. Hogue? Of Condit? Of Coons? We are tempted to think that the brilliant Mr. McKim, who has been so long and so faithfully a member of the Assembly, is now suspected of "not being in sympathy with us who are loyal?" Are the "us who are loyal" coming over to the opinion of the less loyal that Dr. H. "will not do to tie to?"

Then, to what has become of the venerable Dr. Matthews? Surely no one suspects his loyalty. What of the faithful A. H. Hogue? Of Condit? Of Coons? We are tempted to think that the brilliant Mr. McKim, who has been so long and so faithfully a member of the Assembly, is now suspected of "not being in sympathy with us who are loyal?" Are the "us who are loyal" coming over to the opinion of the less loyal that Dr. H. "will not do to tie to?"

How far the Assembly heeded this very loyal request is plain enough from examining the list of names in the reconstructed Boards of Directors and Trustees. We make room for the entire rolls of the two Boards, for they will suggest other important reflections aside from the special purpose we have in view. The old Board of eighteen Trustees consisted of (Min., p. 114):

R. J. Breckinridge, E. P. Humphrey, J. T. Boyle, R. A. Johnston, J. Barbour, A. A. Hogue, W. L. Breckinridge—ministers; and G. W. Welsh, T. E. Quisenberry, G. F. Lee, A. R. McKee, J. A. Jacobs, J. R. Hughes, Geo. Denny, T. Marshall, J. G. Barrett, O. Beatty, J. C. Maxwell—elders.

The new Board of eleven members consists of S. Yerkes, D. D., S. S. McKim, W. J. McKnight—ministers; and T. E. Quisenberry, George Denny, J. G. Barrett, O. Beatty, G. W. Welsh, A. R. McKee, John A. Jacobs, J. C. Maxwell—elders. (Min., p. 316.)

The old Board of fifty-four Directors is reduced down to the following new Board of thirty (Min., p. 315)—a very remarkable Board to direct the training of a ministry, especially as compared with the Boards that once directed the instructions of Professors in Danville Seminary:

MINISTERS—R. F. Caldwell, T. F. Cortelyou, J. T. Lapsley, George Morris, J. L. McKee, D. D., W. L. Breckinridge, D. D., T. H. Clelland, R. A. Johnston, Samuel J. Nicolls (the *Conver man*), John C. Young, R. W. Lane, J. D. D. (Professor), J. K. Kyle, S. D. Crothers, H. H. Allen, R. J. Breckinridge, D. D. (Professor).

LAYMEN—(We follow the Assembly's denomination of them)—Daniel Curry, B. N. Penick, E. A. More, S. R. Williams, R. Rodes, J. C. Maxwell (he who built the argument against us upon the *miraculous egg*), G. W. Welsh, George Denny, L. L. Warren, George Wood, T. T. Alexander, B. F. Avery, S. G. (we suppose J. G.) Barrett, Wm. Prather, J. C. Handolph.

This reconstruction of the Boards, as made under the request of the old Boards, to reduce so as to exclude all *not in hearty sympathy with us who are loyal to the General Assembly*—and "to purge this Board of all such as have taken part against the General Assembly"—presents a new list equally remarkable for what it contains and what it omits. It will

for instance surprise not a few of that class of Southern sympathizers who give one side the benefit of their sympathies and balance the account by giving the other side the benefit of their influence and co-operation—to find that in making up a list of those who have not taken part against the Assembly," but "who have been in hearty sympathy with the cause of us who are loyal"—the names of Messrs. McKee, Warren, Barrett, Prather, Welsh, W. L. Breckinridge, and others, should figure so conspicuously. Have not these men always repelled the charge with indignation that they were in sympathy with the Assembly?

Certain we are that we have heard the hint dropped a hundred times by their friends—particularly their friends of the Southern sympathy sort, that they utterly disapproved the doings of the Assembly, and had no sort of affinity for the "us who are loyal" of the genuine Danville loyalists! We are therefore reduced to the alternative of believing, either these gentlemen are not what their friends took them to be, or the Assembly's guides in this matter regarded them as of too little force, and having too little mind of their own, to be troublesome to the reconstructors at Danville. Or, to state the case more forcibly, either these gentlemen are now playing false with the Assembly, or they have been falsely humbugging their poor credulous dupes at home; or the Assembly supposes they have no principle, and can be used for its purposes better than honest men of either party. One horn of the dilemma must be chosen.

Our space forbids us to note as we intended other names remarkably entered in this list, for other reasons. We therefore pass to the remarkable omissions on these lists. We can see why such men as Messrs. Brank and Spillman may even Mr. Worrall, are omitted.

But it strikes us as singular that though a place is found for all three of his former co-Professors, Dr. Humphrey is utterly ignored in this reconstruction of the Boards. Nothing is more natural than the inquiry here, what can be the matter? After all his tremendous sacrifice of conscience and character to prove his loyalty to the Assembly last year, is he now suspected of "not being in sympathy with us who are loyal?" Are the "us who are loyal" coming over to the opinion of the less loyal that Dr. H. "will not do to tie to?"

Then, to what has become of the venerable Dr. Matthews? Surely no one suspects his loyalty. What of the faithful A. H. Hogue? Of Condit? Of Coons? We are tempted to think that the brilliant Mr. McKim, who has been so long and so faithfully a member of the Assembly, is now suspected of "not being in sympathy with us who are loyal?" Are the "us who are loyal" coming over to the opinion of the less loyal that Dr. H. "will not do to tie to?"

Then, to what has become of the venerable Dr. Matthews? Surely no one suspects his loyalty. What of the faithful A. H. Hogue? Of Condit? Of Coons? We are tempted to think that the brilliant Mr. McKim, who has been so long and so faithfully a member of the Assembly, is now suspected of "not being in sympathy with us who are loyal?" Are the "us who are loyal" coming over to the opinion of the less loyal that Dr. H. "will not do to tie to?"

How far the Assembly heeded this very loyal request is plain enough from examining the list of names in the reconstructed Boards of Directors and Trustees. We make room for the entire rolls of the two Boards, for they will suggest other important reflections aside from the special purpose we have in view. The old Board of eighteen Trustees consisted of (Min., p. 114):

R. J. Breckinridge, E. P. Humphrey, J. T. Boyle, R. A. Johnston, J. Barbour, A. A. Hogue, W. L. Breckinridge—ministers; and G. W. Welsh, T. E. Quisenberry, G. F. Lee, A. R. McKee, J. A. Jacobs, J. R. Hughes, Geo. Denny, T. Marshall, J. G. Barrett, O. Beatty, J. C. Maxwell—elders.

The new Board of eleven members consists of S. Yerkes, D. D., S. S. McKim, W. J. McKnight—ministers; and T. E. Quisenberry, George Denny, J. G. Barrett, O. Beatty, G. W. Welsh, A. R. McKee, John A. Jacobs, J. C. Maxwell—elders. (Min., p. 316.)

The old Board of fifty-four Directors is reduced down to the following new Board of thirty (Min., p. 315)—a very remarkable Board to direct the training of a ministry, especially as compared with the Boards that once directed the instructions of Professors in Danville Seminary:

MINISTERS—R. F. Caldwell, T. F. Cortelyou, J. T. Lapsley, George Morris, J. L. McKee, D. D., W. L. Breckinridge, D. D., T. H. Clelland, R. A. Johnston, Samuel J. Nicolls (the *Conver man*), John C. Young, R. W. Lane, J. D. D. (Professor), J. K. Kyle, S. D. Crothers, H. H. Allen, R. J. Breckinridge, D. D. (Professor).

LAYMEN—(We follow the Assembly's denomination of them)—Daniel Curry, B. N. Penick, E. A. More, S. R. Williams, R. Rodes, J. C. Maxwell (he who built the argument against us upon the *miraculous egg*), G. W. Welsh, George Denny, L. L. Warren, George Wood, T. T. Alexander, B. F. Avery, S. G. (we suppose J. G.) Barrett, Wm. Prather, J. C. Handolph.

This reconstruction of the Boards, as made under the request of the old Boards, to reduce so as to exclude all *not in hearty sympathy with us who are loyal to the General Assembly*—and "to purge this Board of all such as have taken part against the General Assembly"—presents a new list equally remarkable for what it contains and what it omits. It will

for instance surprise not a few of that class of Southern sympathizers who give one side the benefit of their sympathies and balance the account by giving the other side the benefit of their influence and co-operation—to find that in making up a list of those who have not taken part against the Assembly," but "who have been in hearty sympathy with the cause of us who are loyal"—the names of Messrs. McKee, Warren, Barrett, Prather, Welsh, W. L. Breckinridge, and others, should figure so conspicuously. Have not these men always repelled the charge with indignation that they were in sympathy with the Assembly?

Certain we are that we have heard the hint dropped a hundred times by their friends—particularly their friends of the Southern sympathy sort, that they utterly disapproved the doings of the Assembly, and had no sort of affinity for the "us who are loyal" of the genuine Danville loyalists! We are therefore reduced to the alternative of believing, either these gentlemen are not what their friends took them to be, or the Assembly's guides in this matter regarded them as of too little force, and having too little mind of their own, to be troublesome to the reconstructors at Danville. Or, to state the case more forcibly, either these gentlemen are now playing false with the Assembly, or they have been falsely humbugging their poor credulous dupes at home; or the Assembly supposes they have no principle, and can be used for its purposes better than honest men of either party. One horn of the dilemma must be chosen.

Our space forb

Free Christian Commonwealth

REVIEWS.

THE STORY OF MARTIN LUTHER—EDITED BY MISS WATKINS. Presbyterian Publication Committee. Philadelphia. pp 354 16 mo. Price \$1.25.

This volume is prepared on the admirable suggestion of a Boys' and Girls' History of Luther and his work. If the great principles of the Reformation are not practically to be forgotten in the Church, some plan must be fallen upon to make the children well acquainted with the leading facts and principles involved, instead of waiting for maturer years to master the formal terms of Church history and biography. The facts it stored away in the memory, in early life, will remain as a key to the exposition of the great principles in maturer years, and as an antidote to the poisonous falsehoods concerning the men whereby errorists seek ever to overthrow the principles of the Reformation. Whereas if these facts are not gathered till later in life, and in connection with the study of the doctrines, they soon pass out of the mind, or are too inaccurately and indefinitely remembered to be of much service. If such a volume as this, containing the story of John Calvin, for boys and girls, had been in all our Sunday-School libraries for a quarter of a century past, would the silly defamations of Calvin and his theology, now so common, ever have obtained currency? Or, if similar boys' and girls' story of John Knox had been in the hands of the children twenty-five years ago, would the sentimental lipings concerning the bigotry and fanaticism of that great man fill the pages of histories, and other story books as they now do?

We have not had time to read this "story of Martin Luther" very carefully, but so far as we have read, the execution is, in our judgment, as excellent as the conception of the design.

THE LAKE OF THE WOODS—A tale illustrative of the Twelfth Chapter of Romans. By A. L. O. E. Robert Carter & Brothers, New York. 90 cts.

DAVID ASPINWALL—The Wanderer in Africa. By A. L. O. E. Robert Carter & Brothers, New York. 60 cts.

The writer of these little volumes is too well and too favorably known to need any recommendation. But this conception of stories from real life, illustrative of certain portions of God's word, is certainly an admirable one. Such a commentary on the whole Bible, or at least upon the didactic portions of it, would perhaps be the most efficient method of making a lasting impression of Bible precepts upon the minds of our youth. The Messrs. Carter are entitled to the thanks of Christian people, for their enterprise and zeal in circulating such books as these.

SHENAC'S WORK AT HOME—American Sunday-school Union. Philadelphia. pp 110, 16 mo. \$1.25.

This is a very interesting Scottish Canadian story, detailing "the short and simple annals of the poor," in a most life-like manner, as every one will testify, who has mingled with the Scotch Highland immigrants in Canada. The lessons of faith and trust conveyed in the story are as excellent and true to grace, as the picture is true to nature.

CHILD LIFE IN EGYPT—American Sunday-school Union. Philadelphia. pp 208, 16 mo.

This series of pictures by Miss M. L. Whately, is based on actual observation. It will prove a most interesting book to every class of readers; but particularly to those who have ever engaged in the noble effort to reach the very destitute, by mission schools and ragged schools.

STUDIES IN THE GOSPELS. By Richard Cheever Trench, Archbishop of Dublin. Charles Scribner & Co. New York—pp 228; 8 vo. \$3.00.

We confess to a peculiar fancy for Richard Cheever Trench as a leader and guide in the study of the Scriptures. His notes on the Miracles and on the Parables of our Lord have justly given him a name among students of the Scriptures. And his "Tulcan Lectures," anterior to all his Bible criticisms, entitled him to the first rank among the thinkers of this age who have directed their thoughts to the work of unfolding Holy Scripture. We are glad to find that such a house as Charles Scribner & Co. has, "by arrangement with the author," brought out this admirable volume of Studies on the Gospels.

All that is needful to recommend this book to those who have heretofore enjoyed the scholarly suggestions of Trench on the New Testament is to name the subjects which he discusses in this volume. These are: The Temptation. The calling of Philip and Andrew. Christ and the Samaritan woman. The Sons of Thunder. Wisdom justified of her children. The three aspirants. The new piece on the old garment, and the Transfiguration. James and John offering to call fire from heaven. The return of the seventy. The Pharisees seeking to seize the Lord. The unfinished tower. Zachaeus. The true Vine. The penitent malefactor, and Christ and the two disciples on the way to Emmaus.

We have not yet read all of these essays. But that on the Temptation is worth the price of the volume.

THE OILED FEATHER SERIES. The Oiled Feather. Mr. Chipstick's Clock. Stamp on it John. Croaking Frog and Chirping Lion. The Talking Fire Irons. The Eye Doctor. American Sunday-school Union. Philadelphia.

This is a case of most beautiful books for the children, designed more particularly to illustrate the advantages of an amiable and kind spirit. Of course we have not had time to read a whole library. But the children who have been poring over them, around us, pronounce

them "first rate." And this is a case in which we defer greatly to their judgment.

MAY I ROT? OR TWO WAYS OF LOOKING THROUGH A TELESCOPE. American Sunday-school Union.

Under this title, suggested by Nahman's query of the prophet, the writer in this little book presents in a very pointed manner, the nature and duties of the Christian life. The views of the writer on certain points may seem perhaps a little extravagant, but the extravagance is in the right direction; and the thoughts suggested will be found well worthy the consideration of young Christians.

For sale by Davidson & Robinson, No. 72 Fourth street.

Condemned, or Pardoned?

The spiritual rebelliousness and indecision, and the worldly conformity which leave the dividing line between the Church and the world so indistinct, need not surprise us when we know that, among professing Christians, very few even profess to know that they are children of God, and that their teachers encourage doubt and distrust as most becoming to the Christian, or deride the assurance of salvation as arrogant fanaticism. One result of this doctrine has been to crowd the ranks of nominal Christianity with men whose hearts are in the world, but who have attempted to silence conscience by some good resolutions, which they style giving their hearts to God. They have "a hope," and they do not, for the present, desire any more intimate relation to God than such a distant hope involves. They shrink from such present nearness to Him as is implied in the apostolic view of the believer's position: "We have redemption through His blood; even the forgiveness of sins." "By grace ye are saved." "Now are we the sons of God."

That doctrine precisely meets the wishes of worldly professors. But to those who have been truly convinced of sin, the thought that it is unpardonable, is intolerable, and the slightest suspicion about their acceptance of God in Christ must be torment. To those who, under the thralldom of such pernicious teaching, are traveling on in sorrow, asking, and vainly asking, "Am I His, or am I not?" we chiefly address ourselves, in the hope of satisfying them that it is as unscriptural as it is pernicious.

In the Scriptures, to be assured of forgiveness is represented as the normal, not the exceptional, condition of believers; instead of being impossible, it is the very thing implied in faith in Christ. We cannot here inquire into the condition of Old Testament saints. Our readers can at once recall some who could say with Hezekiah: "Thou hast cast all my sins behind thy back." We find our Lord testifying: "He that believeth is not condemned." He sent His ambassadors to proclaim the remission of sins in His name, who testified: "Through this man is preached unto you the forgiveness of sins, and by Him all that believe are justified from all things." To believe what they preached as the word of God, was to be assured of salvation. It was a simple question of the sufficiency of the sacrifice of Christ and the veracity of God.

In the apostolic age, accordingly, the doubts and perplexities which are now regarded as characteristics of the Christian were unknown. Even for doubting Thomas, it was enough to be assured that Jesus was risen from the dead, and he at once exclaimed: "My Lord and my God." With the first Christians, to believe was to rejoice; and they are continually addressed as assured of their salvation. "Being justified by faith we have peace with God." "Ye are all the children of God by faith in Christ Jesus." "In whom we have redemption through His blood, even the forgiveness of sin according to the riches of His grace." "He hath made us accepted in the Beloved."

On every page of the apostolic epistles we may find the proof that to believe was to be saved and to be assured of salvation; but it is impossible to find a syllable which rebukes the greatest boldness in Christ, or the most unhesitating confidence in the word of God as presumptuous. The very object of these epistles is to draw out the hearts of believers to the most unclouded certainty of their acceptance, to establish them in the knowledge and belief of the love of God hath to us, that they might know that they had eternal life. Some in our day go so far in defence of the strange view of Christian character which they have embraced, as to say that they would not be without their doubts and fears for the world, even glorying in their shame. But in that day, doubt and distrust were rebuked as in the highest degree dishonoring to God. "He that believeth not God hath made Him a liar, because he believeth not the record which God gave of His Son; and this is the record, that God hath given to us eternal life, and this life is in His Son."

The assurance of forgiveness which they enjoyed was not based on any superiority of their own character. Among them were about three thousand, to whom Peter said: "Him ye have taken, and by wicked hands have crucified and slain." And after the murderers of the Lord, we need not wonder if we find among them the bitterest persecutors of His Church, the most polluted idolaters, as well as the proudest Pharisees; in short, the chief of sinners. No aggravations of sin could outweigh the preciousness of the sacrifice of Christ—no sin of crimson dye could withstand the cleansing efficacy of His blood. It was enough for them to know that God had poured out His righteous wrath upon sin at the Cross, in order that He might pour out His righteous love upon them forever. However great their sin, the only question was, Has Christ satisfied God for it all? and the answer was found in the fact that God raised Him from the dead. The ground of their assurance was that Christ's work is finished and God's word is true. "They rejoiced in Christ Jesus, and had no confidence in the flesh."

The ground of their assurance is not invalidated. But men are now taught to seek assurance in their own experience, attainments, life, or feelings. We perfectly agree with them, that assurance of salvation derived from any such source would be the height of presumption; and to all, except the spiritually blind, the result of the search must be a terrible uncertainty. On the other hand, when the question is not about self, but about the work of Christ and the word of God, faith is humility, and distrust is arrogance. Here only can the question ever be settled: it is not what I have experienced, but what Christ has done; it is not what I feel, but what God says.

After doubt is hunted from every other refuge, a troubled soul will often say: "If I only knew that I had faith, I could have perfect confidence." Yes, dear friend, but confidence in what? Not in Christ, but in your faith. It is the old attempt to find something in self when you go thus to search for your faith. What is it you seek for? What is this faith? Is Christ's work finished? Is God satisfied with you? Do you confide in Him? Is God's word true when He says, "He that believeth on Him is justified from all things"? If your heart answers "Yes," that is faith—that is assurance of forgiveness complete as the sacrifice of Christ—certain as the truth of God. "Does thy conscience demand something more to satisfy it than that which has satisfied the inflexible justice of God? Is not the ground on which God reveals Himself a righteous justifier, a sufficient for thee to stand upon as a justified sinner?"

All our readers are either condemned or justified, and it is not a matter to be left for a moment in doubt which you are. Amid all varieties of character and degrees of criminality, one thing makes all the difference between the pardoned and the condemned. "He that believeth is not condemned; he that believeth not is condemned already."

The Witness.

Punishing Children.

In multitudes of cases parents have made hasty and furious and utterly groundless charges against a child, which has so taken it aback that it could make no reply, and this has been taken as a tacit confession of guilt, and the next question proposed in fury is, "what did you do for?" And the child being thus confused and more alarmed, cannot summon presence of mind and composure enough to make a denial, and as the only alternative bursts into a kind of hysterical crying. Many parents are of such a temperament that, a child cannot be induced to utter a word under a scolding, they become more enraged, and utter threats which are a disgrace to civilization; we have heard them ourselves from affectionate, intelligent and Christian parents. "I'll break you down with a log of wood." "I'll heat you within an inch of your life," and other similar manifestations of expression from heated, civilized minds; at least they passed for such in the great world.

There is one safe rule always applicable in the reproof of children: never speak so loud to them that a third person, ten feet away, could hear what was said. Any angry feeling is intensified by a loud utterance. Another good rule is, do not reprove or correct a child in the presence of any third person, or if so, let it be done in a soft, low, affectionate tone. A third precaution, and it is not a minor one either, is, do not reprove on the instant; wait a few hours, if not next day, or better still in many cases, defer it until the occasion is about to occur when the fault might likely be repeated. Any intelligent and ab servant housekeeper knows that if a steak is put on the table this morning burned to a crisp, bouncing up from the table, running into the kitchen, and blazing away at the cook is neither lady-like, nor wise, nor polite. But next morning just before the steak is about to be cooked, be in the kitchen, and ask that it be not overdone as yesterday, with some word of encouragement; whatever servant is not untrained in this way had better be dismissed. Now children are as ignorant as servants; the minds of both are weak and may be easily made perverse alike. Be assured, reader, that if you make it an inflexible rule never to scold above a whisper, you will never outrage your child's feelings, fracture its skull by a blow dealt in ungovernable fury—Hall's Journal of Health.

"I Just Laid Down on the Promises."

Such was the artless but most significant expression of a coal stoker who had found Jesus. He had like many others, been "very religious" just before a battle while in the army, or when his fears of dying had been assuaged, only to fall back again into his old evil courses when the impression of danger had passed. For a year past, in frequent conversations, he had professed to believe in Jesus, but without also believing that his sins were forgiven; nor could any explanations of how God had indissolubly joined believing with the remission of sins and eternal life move him. While he professed to believe, yet he knew that he had not eternal life, and "made God a liar" by not believing "the record that God gave of His Son." And this is the record, that God hath given to us eternal life, and this life is in His Son." (See carefully 1 John v: 10, 11.)

A few days ago, however, as he stood in his fire-pit, he realized vividly his spiritual danger, and with tears of agony cried to the Lord. He had often before fruitlessly prayed, and it came to him that his prayers would not save him. Then returned to him the teaching, before apparently wasted, that by faith, and faith only, could he be saved, and he energetically exclaimed, "I will believe—yes, I do believe that Jesus died for me," and immediately he was so filled with a sense of all that Christ had done for him, that he sat down on his wheel-barrow handle and wept freely like a child; tears no longer bow of agonizing dread, but of sorrow that he had lived for thirty-nine years in rebellion against Christ, who through it all had been preserving him from death,

at home or in war, and still waiting that he might be gracious to him!"

In reply to the question as to what his real condition had been when he professed to believe in Jesus, yet without the forgiveness of sins, he said:

"I thought that I believed in Jesus; but I was all the while trusting in my self; it was what I was to do that was to carry me to heaven, though of course I could not tell that such a person as Jesus Christ had lived. But O, when I trusted him, then I knew that all my sins were surely taken away, and I had to go and tell the men around the factory how Jesus had done it all. I became a Christian when I just laid down on the promises."

Another Visit of Jesus.

A large number of people had assembled on the shores of a lake to meet Jesus. He had been away, and they were expecting him to return. They desired to hear his instructions, and were anxious for their friends afflicted with disease to be healed. And they had, doubtless, brought their sick friends with them. And it came to pass that when Jesus was returned, the people gladly received him; for they were all waiting for him.

Dear reader, how is it in your town? Jesus was with you once, but he has now waiting for him to come back! Do you desire him to return? Would it not be a blessing to have a visit from Jesus just now? You and your Christian brethren would all be built up in the faith. Your drooping hearts would be revived. Your cold hearts would be warmed with the fires of divine love. Many of your friends, sick with the terrible disease for sin, would be restored to spiritual health. Jesus alone can cure the sin-sick soul. Do you indeed desire a visit from him? And are you expecting Jesus to return? The people of Capernaum were looking for him. Are you on the look-out in your church, really expecting a visit? Not to expect him shows an amount of unbelief which may keep him away.

And then, are you ready for Jesus? True waiting is made up of desire, expectation, and readiness. Perhaps it would conflict with your arrangements for business or pleasure to receive a visit from Jesus just at present. Or are you now, this very day, all in readiness? Is your heart fully prepared to receive a blessing? Are the cases of your friends all ready to be presented to him for restoration? And shall the record be soon made respecting your city? "And it came to pass that when Jesus was returned, the people gladly received him; for they were all waiting for him?"—Mo. Presbyterian.

Henry Ward Beecher is very thankful that he is a preacher. Some of his reasons for thankfulness will perhaps appear novel to a minister who feels that he is himself accountable for the faithful discharge of his duty. If W. B. has evident reason for confession a paying one.

I have reason to be thankful that I am a minister. If I had not been a minister, I should have been a different person. I should have been a man, with the most flatter of flatters, I do not know of one calling in life that would tempt me for one moment. My impression is that, when I cease to be a minister, I shall not be anything in a professional way—that I shall resort to farming and manual work. Of all intellectual professions, nothing seems to pay, as it is, like the minister's calling. There is nothing that is so noble; there is nothing that is so satisfying; there is nothing that involves so little care. I feel that I have great cause for thankfulness when I see how much I am favored above lawyers, above physicians, above merchants, above professional men and business men generally. They bear ten burdens where I do one. I sometimes hear ministers talk, with hanging head and downcast face, about their responsibilities, about their cares, about the great burdens they bear. I wonder what they are made of. I do not know what sort of a ministry they are in. I never found such cares and burdens. I have found ten joys where I have found one care in the ministry. I have found more pleasure, a hundred times, than pain. I cannot conceive of any vocation that so fills the measure of manhood in every respect. I love the Christian ministry. I did when I was a poor missionary in the West, preaching from log-house to log-house, and I have since. I have always loved it, and I never before loved it so much as I do now.

Hints for Trustees of Churches.

A Montreal letter-writer gives the following description of the manner in which that city levies and collects its pew-rents:

"For instance, if two men should indicate a desire to make that church their place of worship; they would have choice of all the vacant pews. Then each man would be asked to name the sum which he could afford to give weekly toward the expenses of the church. If one could pay a dollar and the other ten cents, well and good; the word of each man is the standard of his ability. In the pews are linen bags or envelopes, with the occupant's name and number, in which he is to place his weekly offering. The house is free to strangers, but this plan allocates the regular attendants, and avoids the great objection to free seats. The deacon informed me that the plan was incomparably more successful than the old one of renting pews. Men who could not give ten dollars a year could give twenty cents to work all the children weekly contributors to the church. Little and often is the motto. When the congregation moved to their present place of worship, the families of the parish were allowed to select their seats in order, the oldest first. The deacon remarked that the only difficulty met with was, a family not as liberal as they ought to be had now and then one of the best

seats, to the discomfort of some one else paying much larger; but on the whole, he was enthusiastic over the success, after a trial of several years."

For the Children.

True Forgiveness.

"Why should I do it?" This was the question which Lucy Allen put to herself, as, on her way home from school one afternoon in June she reclined among the sweet flowers on a beautiful hill-side. To explain this it will be necessary to go back a few hours. That morning, when Lucy entered the school-room, she found that her slate, which she had carefully washed the day before, so as to be all ready for work, had, during her absence, been taken from its place, besmeared with some greasy substance, and then replaced. It was time for school to open, so that she was obliged to leave her slate until after this was done. For the moment she felt irritated, but controlling her feelings, she set to work diligently to remedy the evil. It was no easy task, and many a precious moment was wasted before the slate was fit to use. At length it was over, and she opened her desk for her arithmetic and pencils, but to her surprise all the points were broken off. She was at a loss to determine the author of this mischief, for she had frequently before suffered like annoyance, and a glance at one of the girls confirmed her suspicions. The blood mounted quickly to her cheeks, and she was on the point of going to her teacher and making known to him what had been done, but a sober second thought convinced her that she had better not particularly as she had no proof to offer in support of such an accusation. The evil could not then be traced. So with a strong effort she checked the angry feeling that had arisen, and let the matter pass, unknown to any but herself and the offender, as she had often done before. It was peculiarly trying at this time, as there was a certain portion of the arithmetic which she wished to finish before the coming holidays. Full twenty minutes had passed before she was ready to commence her work, and then with all her diligence she was unable to complete the part she had marked off for the day. The remainder of the time passed unsatisfactorily. She felt that her unkind feelings towards her tormentor, Annie Brooks, had not passed away, and she was dissatisfied with herself. After school was over, as she was preparing to return home, she heard Annie asking one of her school-mates if she could tell her how to make a certain kind of bead bag, which was then very much used. The girl told her no, and Lucy knew that she herself was the only one in school who could do it. The thought came across her mind that she might show Annie, but as she remembered the occurrences of the morning, she asked herself, "Why should I do it?"

The question troubled her during all the walk, and while pausing at her favorite resting-place by the brook. When she reached home, there were so many things to be done, that she "tention" the question no more. She could not, however, quite forget that she had not forgiven her school-mate. In vain she tried to persuade herself that she was not angry with her, that she would do nothing to harm her, that there was a way in which she might benefit Annie of which she would not make use, and that therefore she did not feel a true forgiveness.

"Ought not I," thought she, after turning the matter over and over, "ought not I to prove to her that my love to Christ is not mere profession, by obeying his commandment and returning good for evil? It may be that she too may be benefited by it, and may learn to follow him."

Right was at last victorious, and after earnest prayer that her resolution might not be forgotten, but that she might have strength to carry it out, she jumped into bed and was soon in a peaceful slumber. She was early at school the next morning, and to her joy found that only Annie Brooks had arrived. Speaking pleasantly, she went up to her, and telling her that she had heard her say she wanted to know how to make bead bags, she offered to show her. Annie was taken by surprise, and would willingly have refused. But she was very anxious to learn, and knew not how to decline so kind an offer. The work was not hard to do, and before many of the girls had arrived, Annie had learned how to go on with it by herself. As Lucy was leaving her, Annie looked up, as if she wanted to ask her something.

"Is there anything more you want to ask me about it?" said Lucy.

"No, nothing about this, only did you know—" and here she hesitated. Lucy saved her the mortification of finishing the sentence.

Yes, but that cannot be helped now—let us think no more about it." Again Lucy was turning away, when Annie called her back.

"May I ask you what made you do this for me?" Here the bell rang, and as it was against the rule to speak after this, Lucy playfully put her hand on her lips, and went to her seat, feeling very thankful that she had been enabled to gain this victory over herself, and to do good to one who had wronged her. As they read their morning's lesson from the Bible, Annie turned and looked blushing at Lucy. Annie had found there in one of the verses an answer to the unanswered question which she had asked of Lucy. The words were these: "But I say unto you, Love your enemies, bless them that curse you, do good to them that hate you, and pray for them which despitefully use you and persecute you; that ye may be the children of your Father which is in heaven."

REV. STUART ROBINSON'S NEW BOOK.

Discourses of Redemption, As Revealed at "Sundry Times and in Divers Manners." Designed both as Biblical Expositions for the people, and hints to Theological Students of a popular method of exhibiting the Divers Revelations: Through Patriarchs, Prophets, Jesus and His Apostles.

In this book is contained the mature thought of the Author in his expositions of the Development of the scheme of Redemption, as revealed through the successive eras of Revelation. These Discourses are very suggestive and cannot fail to interest and instruct the reader.

The undersigned, are prepared to supply all demands for the book; and from the well known ability of the Author as a preacher of the Gospel, they anticipate a great demand for it in the West and South. It will be sent by mail, prepaid, upon receipt of the price \$3.

They have also on hand a Large Stock of Theological, Religious, Miscellaneous and Sabbath School books, which they will sell at low prices for Cash; and they solicit the patronage of those who wish to purchase books, especially in the South and West.

They also keep on hand a full supply of School Books and Stationery.

DAVIDSON & ROBINSON, 72 Fourth St. bet. Main and Market, Louisville, Ky.

MASON & SMITH, DEALERS IN COAL.

OFFICE—125 Third Street, East Side, Between Jefferson and Green.

Particular attention given to Orders by Dec. 20, '66.

WM. L. CLARKE, Louisville, Ky.

J. S. LITHGOW & CO., MANUFACTURERS OF Stoves, Castings, & Holloware, Mantles and Grates.

DEALERS IN Tinplate, Sheet Iron, Copper, and Tinners' Findings, Sep. 6.

To Ministers of the Gospel.

WAREN'S WORKS, 16 vols., \$35.00

O'Connell's Commentaries, 10 vols., \$25.00

Institutes, 3 vols., 5.00

Letters, 3 vols., 6.00

Institutes, 1 vol., 4.00

Trail's Works, 2 vols., half calf., 7.00

Howe's Works, 3 vols., 7.00

McDonald on the Pentateuch, 2 vols., 10.00

Howe's Works, 8 vols., 2 vols., bound, 15.00

Lowth on Isaiah, 2 vols., 2.50

History of the 18th Century, by Schlosser, 5 vols., 15.00

Whitby on the New Testament, 2 vols., 10.00

Bishop Hopkins' Works, 4 vols., 4.00

Witts on the Covenants, 3 vols., calf., 3.00

Howe's Works, 8 vols., 2 vols., bound, 4.00

Edwards' Works, 2 vols., cloth, 12.00

Barrow's Works, 3 vols., 7.50

Treatise on Christian Doctrine, by John Milton, 2 vols., boards, 5.00

Clark's Commentary, 5 vols., 25.00

Henry's Commentary, 5 vols., 25.00

Tholuck on Romans, 2 vols., 2.00

Woods' Works, 5 vols., 12.00

McKnight on the Epistles, 4 vols., 4.00

Tholuck on the Pauline Epistles, 3 vols., 3.00

Hengstenberg on the Epistles, 3 vols., 3.00

Tholuck on the Epistles, 3 vols., 3.00

Tholuck on the Epistles, 3 vols., 3.00

Tholuck on the Epistles, 3 vols., 3.00

Tholuck on the Epistles, 3 vols., 3.00

Tholuck on the Epistles, 3 vols., 3.00

Tholuck on the Epistles, 3 vols., 3.00

J. V. ESCOTT

605 Main Street, between Second and Third, Louisville, Ky.

MANUFACTURER OF PIER AND MANTEL MIRRORS,

PORTRAIT AND OTHER FRAMES

In Gilt, Rosewood, Oiled Walnut, &c.

IMPORTER OF French and American PAPER HANGINGS,

FRENCH AND AMERICAN WINDOW GLASS,

LOOKING GLASS PLATES; PHOTOGRAPHIC GOODS, in all its branches;

SPLENDID OIL PAINTINGS; CHROMOS, ENGRAVINGS AND LITHOGRAPHS;

ARTISTS' MATERIALS, &c., &c.

Schools furnished at wholesale prices. Goods entirely new.

May 24th

INTERESTING AND VALUABLE NEW BOOKS.

The Draytons and the Davenants—a story of the Civil War. By the author of the Selwyn-Gods Family. \$1.75.

The Fair Gossamer. By the author of "The Fair Gossamer." \$1.75.

How to be Saved. By Dr. James H. Brookes. 50c.

Studies in the Book of Psalms—By Dr. Wm. S. Plummer. \$1.00.

DAVIDSON & ROBINSON, No. 72 Fourth street.

SLAVERY, AS RECOGNIZED IN THE MOSAIC CIVIL LAW.

Recognized also, and Allowed in the Abrahamic, Moslem and Christian Church.

Being one of a Series of Sabbath Evening Discourses on the Laws of Moses.

BY REV. STUART ROBINSON.

For sale by Davidson & Robinson 72 Fourth st., Louisville—Price 50 cts. It will be sent by mail pre-paid, on receipt of the price.

British Periodicals.

The London Quarterly Review (Conservative), The Edinburgh Review (Whig), The Westminster Review (Radical), The North British Review (Free Church).

Blackwood's Edinburgh Magazine (Tory).

These foreign periodicals are regularly republished by us in the same style as heret